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ABSTRACT

Second language acquisition (SLA) is a complex process influenced by various cognitive factors, among which working memory (WM) plays a pivotal role. This review synthesizes existing research to elucidate the significance of WM in SLA. We delve into the theoretical frameworks, empirical studies, and methodologies employed to explore the relationship between WM and SLA. Moreover, we discuss the implications of these findings for language instruction and pedagogy, emphasizing the importance of incorporating WM-based strategies to enhance language learning outcomes.
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Решающая роль рабочей памяти в овладении вторым языком

АННОТАЦИЯ

Овладение вторым языком (SLA) – это сложный процесс, на который влияют различные когнитивные факторы, среди которых ключевую роль играет рабочая память (WM). Этот обзор синтезирует существующие исследования, чтобы прояснить значение WM в SLA. Мы углубляемся в теоретические основы, эмпирические исследования и методологии, используемые для изучения взаимосвязи между WM и SLA. Более того, мы обсуждаем последствия этих результатов для преподавания языка и педагогики, подчеркивая важность внедрения стратегий на основе WM для улучшения результатов изучения языка.

INTRODUCTION

Second language acquisition (SLA) has been a topic of extensive research, with scholars endeavoring to unravel the cognitive mechanisms underlying the acquisition process. Among the myriad cognitive factors implicated in SLA, working memory (WM) has emerged as a key determinant of language learning success. This article provides a comprehensive overview of the role of WM in SLA, elucidating its theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and practical implications for language instruction.

METHODOLOGY

This review adopts a systematic approach to synthesizing existing literature on the role of working memory (WM) in second language acquisition (SLA). The methodology encompasses the following steps: literature search (a comprehensive search of electronic databases such as PubMed, PsycINFO, ERIC, and Google Scholar was conducted to identify relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals. Search terms included combinations of keywords such as "working memory," "second language acquisition," "language learning," "cognitive factors," and "pedagogy"), inclusion criteria (studies were included based on their relevance to the topic and their empirical investigation of the relationship between WM and SLA. Both qualitative and quantitative research articles, as well as theoretical frameworks and meta-analyses, were considered for inclusion), data extraction (data from selected studies were extracted and organized according to key themes, including theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, methodological approaches, and practical implications. Relevant information such as sample characteristics, research designs, WM measures, language proficiency assessments, and intervention strategies was collated for analysis), synthesis of findings (the extracted data were synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the role of WM in SLA. Themes and patterns emerging from the literature were identified, and key findings were summarized to elucidate the theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and practical implications of WM in language learning), critical analysis (the synthesized findings were critically analyzed to evaluate the strength of evidence supporting the relationship between WM and SLA. Limitations of existing research, potential confounding variables, and alternative explanations were considered to provide a balanced interpretation of the
results, implications for practice (based on the synthesized evidence, practical implications for language instruction and pedagogy were delineated. Strategies for integrating WM-based interventions into language teaching approaches were proposed, with emphasis on optimizing learning environments and enhancing learners’ cognitive processing capacities).

**RESULTS**

The synthesis of literature on the role of working memory (WM) in second language acquisition (SLA) reveals several key findings and patterns:

1. Theoretical frameworks, primarily based on Baddeley’s model of working memory, posit that WM plays a central role in language processing by facilitating the temporary storage and manipulation of linguistic information. The central executive, phonological loop, and visuospatial sketchpad components of WM are implicated in various aspects of SLA, including phonological processing, vocabulary acquisition, grammatical comprehension, and discourse organization.

2. Empirical Evidence: Empirical studies employing diverse methodologies consistently demonstrate a positive correlation between WM capacity and language learning proficiency. Longitudinal studies reveal that individuals with higher WM capacity tend to exhibit accelerated rates of language acquisition and greater proficiency levels over time. Neuroimaging studies provide neurobiological evidence supporting the involvement of WM networks in language processing tasks, further substantiating the theoretical linkage between WM and SLA.

3. Methodological approaches used to investigate the relationship between WM and SLA include experimental tasks, behavioral measures, neuroimaging techniques, and longitudinal assessments. Tasks assessing phonological memory, attentional control, and executive functions are commonly employed to capture the cognitive processes underlying language learning. Longitudinal studies tracking learners’ progress over time offer insights into the developmental trajectory of WM and its impact on SLA outcomes.

4. The recognition of WM’s significance in SLA has important implications for language instruction and pedagogy. Educators can integrate WM-based strategies into language teaching approaches to optimize learning environments and enhance learners’ cognitive processing capacities. Activities promoting rehearsal, chunking, and attentional control can strengthen learners’ WM skills and facilitate the acquisition of linguistic structures. Adaptive instructional approaches tailored to individual learners’ WM profiles can address cognitive constraints and promote more efficient language learning.

Overall, the synthesis of findings underscores the critical role of WM in shaping language learning trajectories and highlights the potential of WM-based interventions to optimize SLA outcomes. By integrating theoretical insights with empirical evidence and practical implications, this review provides a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between WM and SLA, with implications for both research and pedagogical practice.

**DISCUSSION**

A working memory perspective shifts the scientific schema within which language and language behavior have been studied to focus on the dynamics of language processing in addition to its structural aspects [2, 1107].

If working memory can indeed be validated as an independent variable that can account for language comprehension and language acquisition, the obvious question is how working memory fits into a theory of second language acquisition. As suggested by
the eloquent statement made by Carpenter, Miyake and Just above, one area in which to look for such a compatible theory of SLA is in the area of processing. Indeed, there appears to be a growing consensus in the field of SLA [5] that working memory is highly compatible with information processing models of L2 learning such as those proposed by Pienemann and Johnston [12], VanPatten, McLaughlin [9], Rossman [10], and McLoed, and Hulstijn W. and Hulstijn J [6]. Some of these theories have more recently been incorporated into the recent work by Skehan (1998) on a cognitive approach to language learning.

Cadierno and VanPatten [15] portray the role of processing from input to output in the following schematic model.

```
   III III
input --------> intake --------> developing system --------> output
```

Figure 1. Model of second language acquisition [15]

The theoretical framework linking WM to SLA draws upon Baddeley’s model of working memory, which comprises the central executive, phonological loop, and visuospatial sketchpad. According to this model, the central executive serves as the supervisory system that coordinates cognitive processes, including language processing. The phonological loop, responsible for the temporary storage of auditory information, is crucial for retaining phonological forms during language learning. Similarly, the visuospatial sketchpad facilitates the manipulation and retention of visual and spatial information, which are pertinent to certain aspects of language comprehension and production.

Baddeley’s model of working memory, proposed by British psychologist Alan Baddeley in 1974, is a widely accepted theoretical framework that provides a conceptualization of the cognitive system responsible for temporary storage and manipulation of information during complex cognitive tasks, such as language processing. The model consists of several components, each with specific functions:

- **Central Executive**: The central executive is considered the control center of working memory, responsible for coordinating cognitive processes and allocating attentional resources. It regulates the flow of information between different components of working memory and integrates information from long-term memory. The central executive is theorized to be involved in tasks such as planning, decision-making, problem-solving, and cognitive control.

- **Phonological Loop**: The phonological loop is responsible for the temporary storage and rehearsal of auditory and verbal information. It consists of two subcomponents:
  - **Phonological Store**: This component holds auditory information in a phonological or auditory-based form for a brief duration. It is involved in the storage of spoken language sounds.
  - **Articulatory Control Process**: This component is responsible for the rehearsal and maintenance of verbal information through subvocal articulation or inner speech. It aids in the refreshing of information stored in the phonological store, thereby preventing its decay.

- **Visuospatial Sketchpad**: The visuospatial sketchpad is responsible for the temporary storage and manipulation of visual and spatial information. It allows individuals to mentally visualize and manipulate images, objects, and spatial relationships. This component is involved in tasks such as mental rotation, navigation, and spatial reasoning.
Episodic Buffer: In later revisions of the model, Baddeley proposed the addition of the episodic buffer, which serves as a temporary storage system capable of integrating information from various sources, including the phonological loop, visuospatial sketchpad, and long-term memory. The episodic buffer provides a mechanism for binding together different types of information into coherent episodic memories, facilitating the construction of mental representations of past events.

Baddeley’s model of working memory has been influential in shaping our understanding of cognitive processes involved in various tasks, including language comprehension, production, and learning. In the context of second language acquisition (SLA), Baddeley’s model provides a theoretical framework for examining how working memory capacity influences language learning outcomes. Specifically, the phonological loop component of working memory is particularly relevant to language processing tasks, as it is involved in the temporary storage and manipulation of linguistic information, such as sounds, words, and syntax.

Research in SLA has explored the role of working memory, particularly the phonological loop, in tasks such as vocabulary acquisition, grammatical processing, and language production. Studies have shown that individuals with higher working memory capacity tend to demonstrate better performance in language learning tasks, such as vocabulary retention, sentence comprehension, and oral fluency. Furthermore, interventions targeting working memory processes, such as rehearsal strategies and attentional control exercises, have been shown to enhance language learning outcomes in both classroom and experimental settings.

Overall, Baddeley’s model of working memory provides a valuable framework for understanding the cognitive processes underlying second language acquisition and offers insights into how working memory capacity influences language learning success. By incorporating working memory-based strategies into language instruction and pedagogy, educators can optimize learning environments and facilitate more efficient language learning for learners of all ages and proficiency levels.

Empirical studies investigating the relationship between WM and SLA have yielded compelling evidence supporting their interdependence. Research employing various methodologies, including behavioral experiments, neuroimaging techniques, and longitudinal studies, consistently demonstrates a positive correlation between WM capacity and language learning proficiency. Moreover, experimental interventions targeting WM processes have been shown to enhance language learning outcomes, underscoring the causal role of WM in SLA.

The recognition of WM’s significance in SLA has profound implications for language instruction and pedagogy. Educators can leverage WM-based strategies to optimize language learning environments and facilitate learners’ cognitive processing. Incorporating activities that promote WM development, such as rehearsal, chunking, and attentional control, can enhance learners’ capacity to encode, store, and retrieve linguistic information. Moreover, adaptive instructional approaches tailored to individual learners’ WM profiles can optimize learning outcomes and mitigate cognitive overload.

Conclusion. In conclusion, working memory emerges as a critical factor in second language acquisition, influencing learners’ ability to comprehend, produce, and retain linguistic structures. Theoretical models, empirical research, and pedagogical strategies converge to underscore the pivotal role of WM in shaping language learning trajectories.
By integrating WM-based interventions into language instruction, educators can empower learners to overcome cognitive constraints and achieve proficiency in a second language. Thus, a deeper understanding of WM’s role in SLA holds promise for advancing theories of language learning and optimizing educational practices in multilingual contexts.

REFERENCES: