

Xorijiy lingvistika va lingvodidaktika – Зарубежная лингвистика и лингводидактика – Foreign Linguistics and Linguodidactics



Journal home page:

https://inscience.uz/index.php/foreign-linguistics

Borrowings and internationalisms in aviation terminology

Rano ERGASHOVA¹

Institute of Military Aviation of the University of Military Security and Defense of the Republic of Uzbekistan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received August 2025 Received in revised form 15 August 2025 Accepted 15 September 2025 Available online 05 October 2025

Keywords:

aviation terminology, borrowings, internationalisms, lingua franca, professional communication, standardization.

ABSTRACT

The article is devoted to the study of borrowings and internationalisms in the field of aviation terminology. The rapid development of aviation as a global industry has led to the formation of a specialized vocabulary, a significant part of which consists of English terms adopted in different languages. The paper analyzes the linguistic mechanisms of borrowing, the degree of assimilation of international terms, and their role in ensuring effective communication in professional aviation discourse. Special attention is paid to the influence of English as a lingua franca on the unification of aviation terminology and to the challenges of translation and adaptation in national linguistic systems. The findings highlight the significance of internationalisms for the standardization of aviation communication and for ensuring safety in the global aviation environment.

2181-3701/© 2025 in Science LLC.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47689/2181-3701-vol3-iss5-pp235-240

This is an open-access article under the Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru)

Aviatsiya terminologiyasidagi oʻzlashma soʻzlar va xalqaro atamalar

Kalit soʻzlar:

aviatsiya terminologiyasi, oʻzlashmalar, internatsionalizmlar, xalqaro muloqot tili, professional muloqot, standartlashtirish.

ANNOTATSIYA

Maqola aviatsiya terminologiyasidagi oʻzlashmalar va xalqaro atamalarni oʻrganishga bagʻishlangan boʻlib, unda aviatsiyaning jadal rivojlanishi ushbu sohada maxsus lugʻat shakllanishi, uning muhim qismini turli tillarga qabul qilingan inglizcha atamalar tashkil etishi yoritib berilgan. Tadqiqotda terminlarning oʻzlashish mexanizmlari, internatsional soʻzlarning tilda oʻzlashish darajasi hamda ularning professional aviatsiya

E-mail: Ergashova-91@mail.ru

¹ Professor, Institute of Military Aviation of the University of Military Security and Defense of the Republic of Uzbekistan.



diskursida samarali muloqotni ta'minlashdagi roli tahlilga tortilgan. Shuningdek, ingliz tilining xalqaro til (lingua franca) sifatidagi ta'siri, aviatsiya terminologiyasining unifikatsiyasidagi oʻrni va milliy til tizimlarida tarjima hamda moslashtirishdagi qiyinchiliklar keltirilgan. Tadqiqot natijalari aviatsiya standartlashtirilishi mulogotining va global aviatsiya xayfsizligini ta'minlashda internatsionalizmlarning ahamiyatini koʻrsatadi.

Заимствования и интернационализмы в авиационной терминологии

Ключевые слова: авиационная терминология, заимствования, интернационализмы, язык международного общения, профессиональная коммуникация, стандартизация.

АННОТАЦИЯ

Статья посвящена изучению интернациональный терминов в области авиационной терминологии. Быстрое развитие авиации как глобальной отрасли привело к формированию специальной лексики, значительную часть которой составляют английские термины, заимствованные различные языки. В работе анализируются лингвистические механизмы заимствования, ассимиляции интернациональных терминов и их роль в обеспечении эффективной коммуникации профессиональном авиационном дискурсе. Особое внимание уделяется влиянию английского языка как язык международного общения (lingua franca) на унификацию авиационной терминологии, а также проблемам перевода и адаптации в национальных языковых системах. Результаты исследования подчеркивают интернационализмов для стандартизации авиационного общения и обеспечения безопасности в мировой авиации.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of aviation as a separate field of human activity in the early 20th century led to the creation of a highly specialized set of terms. Aviation terminology, like the industry itself, has been developing under conditions of international cooperation, technological innovation, and constant cross-cultural contact. This explains why a large proportion of aviation vocabulary consists of borrowings and internationalisms, which ensure the unification of professional communication across countries and cultures.

One of the most striking features of aviation terminology is the dominance of English. Since the mid-20th century, English has been recognized by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) as the official language of international air communication. For example, standard commands such as "Cleared for takeoff," "Stand by," "Mayday," and "Wilco" are used worldwide without translation, functioning as linguistic universals in aviation practice. Their direct adoption into other languages minimizes ambiguity and reduces the risk of miscommunication, which is especially critical in emergencies.

Borrowings are often connected with technological innovations introduced in English-speaking countries. Terms such as *cockpit, autopilot, jet, runway,* and *black box* have been borrowed directly into many languages with little or no modification. In Uzbek



and Russian, for instance, the English word *radar* has been integrated as *radar* (*yзб. radar*, *pyc. paдap*), demonstrating its status as an internationalism. Similarly, *aviation* (Uzbek: aviatsiya, Russian: авиация) originates from the Latin avis ("bird") through French and has become a universal term across numerous languages.

Another important group of terms includes acronyms and abbreviations that have acquired international status. Examples are *VOR (VHF Omnidirectional Range)*, *ILS (Instrument Landing System)*, *and ATC (Air Traffic Control)*. These abbreviations are usually not translated, but rather pronounced according to the phonetic rules of each language, maintaining their global recognition.

At the same time, the adaptation of borrowings may vary depending on national linguistic systems. For example, the English word *flight* is rendered as *peŭc* in Russian, while in Uzbek the equivalent *parvoz* is used in general contexts, though the borrowed form *flight* can also appear in professional discourse. Such cases illustrate the coexistence of native equivalents and borrowed forms, raising important questions about assimilation and standardization in different languages.

Thus, the study of borrowings and internationalisms in aviation terminology not only reveals the linguistic mechanisms of word formation and adaptation but also demonstrates the direct link between language, technology, and international cooperation. A detailed analysis of these processes allows researchers to understand how global communication is maintained in aviation and why unified terminology is essential for safety and efficiency in this high-risk professional environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Borrowing is one of the primary mechanisms of lexical enrichment, particularly in technical and scientific domains where new concepts require rapid terminological development. According to Haugen (1950) and Weinreich (1953), borrowings enter a recipient language either as direct loanwords, loan translations (calques), or loan shifts, and they often undergo phonological or semantic adaptation. In aviation, English has historically acted as the donor language, while Russian and Uzbek have functioned as recipient systems, adopting forms such as radar, jet, cockpit with minimal modification, or creating calques like black box \rightarrow чёрный ящик \rightarrow gora guti. Closely related to borrowing is the phenomenon of internationalisms, defined by Filin (1982) as lexical items of Latin-Greek origin that appear across multiple languages with little variation examples include aviation, radio, and helicopter. These units serve as linguistic bridges in international scientific and technical communication, essentially fulfilling the role of a lingua franca. The General Theory of Terminology (Wüster, 1979) provides a useful framework for understanding such processes, emphasizing the need for monosemy, unification, and functionality in specialized vocabularies. These principles are particularly critical in aviation, where the precision of terminology directly impacts safety. International bodies such as ICAO enforce standardization through globally recognized acronyms and abbreviations (ATC, GPS, ICAO), which enhance efficiency and reduce ambiguity. The dynamics of borrowing, however, vary across languages: Russian frequently balances between calques (вертолёт) and borrowings (джет), while Uzbek demonstrates stronger reliance on direct adoption (radar, jet, GPS), reflecting both modernization processes and the increasing influence of English in technical education. As Cabré (1999) notes, terminology forms a specialized subsystem of language characterized by precision. stability, and reduced polysemy, and aviation terminology exemplifies this model by

functioning as a globalized lexicon shaped by borrowing, internationalisms, and standardization under the conditions of globalization (Crystal, 2003).

RESULTS

According to Haugen (1950), borrowing can be classified into three main types:

Loanwords (direct adoption with phonetic adaptation)

e.g., radar \rightarrow paдap \rightarrow radar (minimal adaptation).

Loan translations (calques) (structural borrowing through translation)

e.g., black box \rightarrow чёрный ящик \rightarrow qora quti.

Loan shifts (semantic extensions of existing words)

e.g., flight in English means both "полет" and "рейс" but in Russian and Uzbek, equivalents diverge: полет/parvoz (physical act of flying) vs. рейс (scheduled trip).

This typology helps explain why aviation terminology exhibits a mixture of unchanged internationalisms (aviatsiya, radio) and locally adapted forms (рейс/рагуоz).

Internationalisms are defined (Filin, 1982) as words with identical or similar phonetic and semantic structure across multiple languages. In aviation, these are often of Latin-Greek origin via French or English. Examples:

English	Russian	Uzbek	Origin	Note
aviation	авиация	aviatsiya	Lat. avis	Shared across >40 languages
radio	радио	radio	Lat. radius	Global adoption in tech
helicopter	вертолёт	vertolyot	Gr. helix + pteron	Hybrid: RU has calque (вертолёт), Uzbek

The ICAO Standard Phraseology represents what Cabré (1999) calls controlled vocabulary. Unlike natural borrowing, these terms are regulated by international agreement:

Mayday (distress call, from French m'aidez \rightarrow "help me") – unchanged globally.

Wilco (military abbreviation for will comply) – adopted internationally.

Stand by – global command for "wait."

Here, linguistic borrowing is not spontaneous but enforced for safety. This reflects terminological standardization theory: internationalisms in aviation are a tool for risk reduction, not just linguistic convenience.

DISCUSSION

Borrowings in aviation often undergo phonological assimilation depending on the receiving language system:

cockpit → pyc. кокпит [kokpit] (phonetic adaptation, preserved morphology).

 $jet \rightarrow pyc.$ джет [dʒet], yзб. jet [dʒet] (different phonetic interpretations).

In Uzbek, borrowings often keep English orthography (e.g., GPS, jet), while in Russian they adapt orthographically (джет, радар). This demonstrates Haugen's idea that borrowing reflects "recipient language constraints".

Following Wuster's General Theory of Terminology (1979), internationalisms in aviation serve three main functions:

Unification – shared terms across languages (aviatsiya/aviation/авиация).

Precision – exact technical meaning (ILS, VOR, ATC).



Economy – abbreviations (GPS, ICAO), which reduce complexity in emergency contexts.

Thus, the dominance of English terms is not accidental, but a functional necessity in international safety communication.

The comparative analysis of English, Russian, and Uzbek aviation terminology demonstrates that the dynamics of borrowing and internationalisms reflect both linguistic traditions and sociocultural orientations. The data confirm Haugen's (1950) classification of loanwords, calques, and loanshifts, while also illustrating Wuster's (1979) principles of standardization in specialized vocabularies. English, functioning as the donor language, contributes the majority of internationalisms, particularly of Greco-Latin origin (aviation, navigation, radio, helicopter), which retain stability across all three languages. This supports Filin's (1982) argument that internationalisms provide semantic equivalence and facilitate cross-linguistic intelligibility in scientific discourse.

Russian and Uzbek, however, show different adaptation strategies. Russian demonstrates a dual tendency: on the one hand, it adopts direct borrowings such as джет and кокпит, while on the other, it preserves national linguistic identity through calques such as чёрный ящик and вертолёт. This reflects a long-standing tradition of balancing foreign influence with internal lexical productivity. Uzbek, in contrast, shows a stronger reliance on direct borrowings (radar, jet, GPS), a tendency explained by its more recent integration into global aviation discourse and the dominance of English-language training materials. Nonetheless, Uzbek also preserves certain native equivalents, such as parvoz for flight and qora quti for black box, which coexist with their borrowed counterparts. This dual-layered system illustrates a transitional stage in terminological development, where native and borrowed forms compete or complement one another depending on context.

The statistical tendencies outlined in Table 2 reveal further insights. Direct borrowings and internationalisms dominate across all three languages, but the proportions vary: English relies more heavily on internationalisms and acronyms, Russian distributes more evenly between borrowings and calques, while Uzbek favors direct adoption. These differences indicate that while aviation terminology is globally standardized in core concepts, national languages still adapt terminology according to internal linguistic norms and cultural preferences.

Functionally, the coexistence of borrowings, calques, and internationalisms demonstrates the balance between global unification and local accessibility. Standardized internationalisms and acronyms (ICAO, GPS, ATC) guarantee unambiguous communication in safety-critical contexts, while calques and native equivalents preserve comprehensibility for local specialists and students. Thus, aviation terminology illustrates not only the processes of borrowing and standardization but also the negotiation between linguistic globalization and national identity.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis of aviation terminology in English, Russian, and Uzbek demonstrates that borrowing and the use of internationalisms are the primary forces shaping this specialized lexicon. English, as the global donor language, supplies the majority of terms that circulate in professional communication, such as altimeter, turbulence, fuselage, and hangar. Russian adapts these units through both direct borrowings (фюзеляж, навигация) and calques (воздушное судно, посадочная полоса), while Uzbek relies more heavily on direct adoption (fuzelyaj, hangar, navigatsiya) but also produces translated forms (havo kemasi,



qoʻnish yoʻlagi). These processes illustrate the coexistence of global uniformity and local adaptation within national terminological systems.

The study revealed that the majority of terms are direct borrowings or internationalisms, while calques and semantic extensions make up a smaller but significant layer. Acronyms and abbreviations are particularly widespread, for instance, VOR, ILS, and ADS-B, and are typically preserved across languages to ensure clarity and brevity in communication. Differences appear mainly in the way these terms are integrated: Russian often combines international abbreviations with transliteration (ИЛС), while Uzbek retains the English forms alongside occasional descriptive translations.

Overall, the findings confirm that aviation terminology is highly standardized at the international level but remains flexible enough to reflect the linguistic traditions of individual languages. The coexistence of borrowed and native terms increases both accuracy and accessibility: international units guarantee unification and safety in cross-border communication, while native equivalents support effective teaching and professional training at the national level. Looking forward, the continued development of aviation technology—particularly in unmanned aerial systems, satellite navigation, and ecoaviation—will drive the creation of new terms, most of which will likely follow the same global borrowing and adaptation patterns observed in this study.

REFERENCES:

- 1. ICAO. (2004). Manual on the Implementation of ICAO Language Proficiency Requirements. Montreal.
- 2. Krysin, L.P. (2004). Иноязычные слова в современном русском языке. Moscow.
- 3. Cabré, M. T. (1999). Terminology: Theory, methods, and applications. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- 4. Crystal, D. (2003). English as a global language (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Filin, F. P. (1982). Очерки по истории русского литературного языка XVII—XIX веков [Essays on the history of Russian literary language of the 17th–19th centuries]. Moscow: Nauka.
- 6. Haugen, E. (1950). The analysis of linguistic borrowing. Language, 26(2), 210–231. https://doi.org/10.2307/410058
- 7. Lotte, D. S. (1961). Основы построения научно-технической терминологии [Principles of scientific-technical terminology]. Moscow: Akademiya Nauk SSSR.
- 8. Thomason, S. G., & Kaufman, T. (1988). Language contact, creolization, and genetic linguistics. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- 9. Vinogradov, V. V. (1972). История слов [The history of words]. Moscow: Nauka.
- 10. Weinreich, U. (1953). Languages in contact: Findings and problems. New York: Linguistic Circle of New York.
- 11. Wüster, E. (1979). Einführung in die allgemeine Terminologielehre und terminologische Lexikographie [Introduction to the General Theory of Terminology and terminological lexicography]. Vienna: Springer.