in Science
through time and space

Жамият ва инновациялар –
Общество и инновации –
Society and innovations
Journal home page:
https://inscience.uz/index.php/socinov/index

Antitrust and competition issues in the context of mergers and acquisitions

Aminjon KALANDAROV[1]

Tashkent State University of Law

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received December 2023
Received in revised form
15 December 2023
Accepted 20 January 2024
Available online
15 February 2024

Keywords:
antitrust issues,
competition law,
mergers and acquisitions,
market concentration,
HHI,
monopolies,
oligopolies.

ABSTRACT

This article examines antitrust and competition issues in mergers and acquisitions (M&A), focusing on how M&As impact industry competition. It analyzes market concentration, using metrics like the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), and discusses the effects of increased market dominance, such as reduced competition and higher prices. The article also explores how M&As can lead to monopolies or oligopolies and the regulatory measures in place to prevent such outcomes. Additionally, it addresses anticompetitive practices post-merger, including predatory pricing and exclusive agreements, highlighting the role of regulatory authorities in ensuring fair competition and consumer welfare. This overview provides key insights into the complexities of M&A activities and their regulatory implications.

2181-1415/© 2024 in Science LLC.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47689/2181-1415-vol5-iss1/S-pp63-69
This is an open access article under the Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru)

Birlashish va qo‘shib olish kontekstida monopoliyaga qarshi va raqobat muammolari

Kalit so‘zlar:
monopoliyaga qarshi qonunchilik masalalari,
raqobat huquqi,
qo‘shilish va qo‘shilish,
bozor konsentratsiyasi,
Gerfindal-Xirshman indeksi,
monopoliyalar,
oligopoliyalar.

ANNOTATSIYA

Ushbu maqola qo‘shilish va qo‘shib olish (M&A) sanoatida monopoliyaga qarshi va raqobat masalalarini ko‘rib chiqadi, bunda qo‘shilish va qo‘shib olishning sanoat raqobatiga ta’siriga alohida e’tibor beriladi. Bozor kontsentratsiyasi Herfindal-Xirshman indeksi (HHI) kabi ko‘rsatkichlar yordamida tahlil qilinadi va bozor hukmronligining kuchayishi oqibatlari, masalan, raqobatning pasayishi va narxlarning oshishi muhokama qilinadi. Maqolada, shuningdek, qo‘shilish va qo‘shib olishlar monopoliya yoki oligopoliyaga qanday olib kelishi

[1] Department of Business Law, Tashkent State University of Law. E-mail: aminjonqalandarov9@gmail.com

mumkinligi koʻrib chiqiladi va bunday oqibatlarning oldini olish uchun amaldagi tartibga solish choralari koʻrib chiqiladi. Shuningdek, u qoʻshilishdan keyingi raqobatga qarshi amaliyotlarni, jumladan, yirtqich narxlarni belgilash va eksklyuzivlik kelishuvlarini koʻrib chiqadi, adolatli raqobat va isteʼmolchilar farovonligini taʼminlashda tartibga soluvchilarning rolini taʼkidlaydi. Ushbu sharh M&A faoliyatining murakkabliklari va uning tartibga solish oqibatlari haqida asosiy tushuncha beradi.

Вопросы антимонопольного законодательства и конкуренции в контексте слияний и поглощений

Ключевые слова:
вопросы антимонопольного законодательства, конкурентное право, слияние и поглощение, концентрация рынка, Индекс Герфиндаля-Хиршмана, монополии, олигополии.

АННОТАЦИЯ

В этой статье рассматриваются вопросы антимонопольного законодательства и конкуренции в сфере слияний и поглощений (M&A), с особым вниманием к влиянию слияний и поглощений на отраслевую конкуренцию. Анализируется концентрация рынка с использованием таких показателей, как индекс Герфиндаля-Хиршмана (HHI), и обсуждаются последствия усиления доминирования на рынке, такие как снижение конкуренции и повышение цен. В статье также исследуется, как слияния и поглощения могут привести к возникновению монополий или олигополий, а также рассматриваются действующие меры регулирования для предотвращения таких последствий. Кроме того, рассматривается антиконкурентная практика после слияния, включая хищническое ценообразование и эксклюзивные соглашения, подчеркивая роль регулирующих органов в обеспечении честной конкуренции и благосостояния потребителей. Этот обзор дает ключевое представление о сложностях деятельности по слияниям и поглощениям и их регуляторных последствиях.

Antitrust and competition issues are critical considerations in the context of mergers and acquisitions (M&A). These concerns arise because M&As, by their nature, can alter the competitive landscape of an industry. Regulatory bodies and antitrust laws are designed to scrutinize these transactions to prevent the creation of monopolies or oligopolies that could harm consumers and stifle competition. Here's a detailed look at these issues:

I. Market Concentration

Market concentration refers to the degree to which a small number of firms control a large portion of the market. In the context of mergers and acquisitions (M&A), market concentration is a crucial metric, as it measures the impact of a merger or acquisition on the competitive landscape. When a merger or acquisition significantly reduces the number of competitors in a market, it leads to an increase in market concentration. This can potentially result in the merged entity holding a dominant position in the market.

Market concentration is typically measured using indices such as the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI). The HHI is calculated by squaring the market share of each firm competing in the market and then summing the resulting numbers. It can range from close to zero (in the case of a highly competitive market) to 10,000 (if a market is a monopoly). [1]

Low Concentration: An HHI below 1,500 generally indicates a competitive marketplace.

Moderate Concentration: An HHI between 1,500 and 2,500 suggests moderate concentration.

High Concentration: An HHI above 2,500 indicates high market concentration, often attracting regulatory scrutiny.

Concerns Related to High Market Concentration

Reduced Competition: As market concentration increases, competition typically decreases. Fewer firms in the market mean less competitive pressure to keep prices low and maintain high-quality standards.

Higher Prices: With less competition, the dominant firm or firms have more power to set higher prices. This can lead to increased costs for consumers and can be particularly concerning in markets with inelastic demand.

Lower Quality and Less Innovation: In highly concentrated markets, the leading firms may have less incentive to innovate or maintain high-quality standards. The lack of competitive pressure can lead to stagnation in product development and service improvements.

Barriers to Entry: High market concentration can create significant barriers to entry for new firms. Established players may control essential resources, customer networks, or distribution channels, making it difficult for new entrants to compete effectively.

Consumer Choice: High concentration can limit consumer choices. With fewer firms in the market, consumers may find fewer alternatives in terms of products, services, and pricing.

Market Power and Abuse: Dominant firms in a concentrated market may have the ability to engage in anticompetitive practices, such as predatory pricing, exclusive dealing agreements, or other forms of abuse of market power.

Regulatory authorities closely monitor M&As that might lead to high market concentration. Agencies like the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) in the U.S. or the European Commission in the EU analyze these transactions to assess their impact on market structure and competition. If a merger leads to a significantly high HHI score, it might be subject to conditions or even be blocked to prevent anticompetitive outcomes.

Addressing Market Concentration

To address concerns of high market concentration, companies engaging in M&A may need to: [2]

Divest Assets: Sell off parts of the business to reduce market share and lower the HHI score.

Alter Practices: Change business practices to ensure fair competition.

Accept Oversight: Agree to ongoing monitoring and reporting to regulatory bodies.

Market concentration is a vital consideration in M&A due to its significant impact on market dynamics. High market concentration can lead to several negative outcomes,

including reduced competition, higher prices, lower quality, reduced innovation, and limited consumer choice. Regulatory authorities play a critical role in monitoring and, if necessary, intervening in M&As to prevent excessively concentrated markets and maintain a healthy competitive environment. [3]

II. Potential to Create Monopolies or Oligopolies

Potential to Create Monopolies or Oligopolies through Mergers and Acquisitions

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) can significantly reshape market dynamics, sometimes leading to the formation of monopolies or oligopolies. Understanding these phenomena is crucial for regulators, businesses, and consumers, as they can have profound implications on market competition and consumer welfare.

Monopolies

Definition: A monopoly occurs when a single company dominates an entire market. In this scenario, the company becomes the sole provider of a good or service, giving it considerable power over pricing and supply.

Post-Merger Monopolies: After a merger, if the new entity controls an overwhelming majority of the market share, it can effectively become a monopolist. This is particularly concerning in scenarios where the merging entities were previously direct competitors in a niche market. [4]

Implications of Monopolies:

Price Control: A monopolist can exert significant influence over prices, often leading to higher prices for consumers.

Quality and Innovation: With no competitive pressure, there's less incentive for a monopolist to innovate or maintain high-quality standards.

Barrier to Entry: Monopolies can establish high barriers to entry, preventing potential competitors from entering the market due to high costs or lack of access to necessary resources or technologies.

Regulatory Scrutiny: Monopolies are heavily scrutinized under antitrust laws. Transactions leading to monopolistic markets are often challenged or prohibited by regulatory bodies like the FTC in the U.S. or the European Commission in the EU.

Oligopolies

Definition: An oligopoly exists when a few companies dominate a market. Unlike a monopoly, an oligopoly has more than one firm, but each firm has enough market power to influence the market significantly.

M&As and Oligopolies: M&As, especially among leading firms in a market, can lead to or strengthen oligopolies. The combined market share of the oligopolistic firms becomes significant enough to exert considerable control over the market.

Concerns with Oligopolies:

Collusion Risks: There is a risk (either explicit or implicit) of collusion among oligopolistic firms to fix prices, limit production, or divide markets, leading to anti-competitive practices.

Price Rigidity: Oligopolies may lead to price rigidity, where prices are more stable and less responsive to changes in demand or cost. This can be disadvantageous for consumers, particularly if prices are kept artificially high.

Non-Price Competition: Oligopolies might compete in areas other than price, such as marketing, product differentiation, and innovation, which can have both positive and negative impacts on consumers.

Regulatory Response: Oligopolies, like monopolies, are subject to antitrust regulations. Authorities examine whether the concentration in the market would harm competition and consumers. M&As leading to oligopolistic markets may be approved conditionally (with remedies such as divestitures) or blocked.

Addressing the Risks

Antitrust Laws: Strict enforcement of antitrust laws is essential to prevent the formation of monopolies and oligopolies through M&As.

Market Analysis: Regulators conduct thorough market analysis to understand the potential impact of an M&A on market structure, including the likelihood of monopolistic or oligopolistic outcomes.

Remedies and Divestitures: To mitigate anticompetitive effects, firms may need to divest certain assets or agree to behavioral remedies.

Monitoring Post-Merger Performance: Continuous monitoring of market conditions post-merger is crucial to ensure compliance with antitrust regulations and to prevent anti-competitive practices.

The potential of M&As to create monopolies or oligopolies is a significant concern in the realm of competition law and market regulation. Monopolies and oligopolies can have far-reaching impacts on market dynamics, pricing, quality, innovation, and consumer choice. As such, regulators play a vital role in scrutinizing proposed mergers and acquisitions to prevent undue market concentration and to maintain a competitive market environment for the benefit of consumers and the economy as a whole.

III. Anticompetitive Practices

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A), while often beneficial for economic growth and business expansion, can sometimes lead to anticompetitive practices. These practices can distort markets, harm competitors unfairly, and limit choices for consumers. Here's a detailed look at these practices: [5]

1. Predatory Pricing

Predatory pricing occurs when a company sets its prices below cost in an attempt to eliminate competition. After a merger, the newly formed entity might use its increased resources and market share to engage in this practice.

Impacts:

Short Term: Consumers may initially benefit from lower prices.

Long Term: Once competition is reduced or eliminated, the company may increase prices significantly.

It can lead to a monopolistic market, reducing overall market health and innovation.

2. Exclusive Agreements

These are contracts where a company restricts a customer's or supplier's ability to do business with competitors. Post-merger, companies may have increased leverage to enforce such agreements.

Impacts:

Limits market access for competitors, especially new entrants.

Restricts freedom of customers or suppliers, often leading to higher prices and less choice.

Can solidify the market dominance of the merged entity, further entrenching its position.

3. Tying Arrangements

Tying happens when a company requires customers to purchase a secondary product or service as a condition for obtaining a primary product or service. Merged entities might use their control over certain products to force these bundles.[6]

Impacts:

Limits consumer choice as they are forced to buy additional, possibly unwanted, products.

Hinders competition as competitors may not have the same range of products to offer as a bundle.

Can be used to invade or dominate adjacent markets, leveraging the strength in one market to gain an unfair advantage in another.

4. Barriers to Entry

Creating High Entry Barriers: Merged companies might use their increased resources to create high barriers to entry for new competitors. This can be through high advertising spends, control over key distribution channels, or aggressive intellectual property litigation.

Impacts:

Discourages new entrants, leading to reduced competition.

Ensures market dominance of the merged entity, potentially leading to higher prices and less innovation in the long term.

5. Market Allocation

This involves dividing markets among competitors, where each agrees to stay out of each other's designated territory or market segment.

Post-Merger Scenario: Merged entities might engage in market allocation to reduce competitive pressures, often leading to regional or product-based monopolies.

6. Abuse of Dominant Position

Engaging in Unfair Practices: Companies with a dominant market position post-merger might engage in practices that unfairly exploit their position, like imposing unfair trading terms on suppliers or customers.

Impacts:

Reduces competitiveness of suppliers and customers.

Can lead to sub-optimal market outcomes, such as reduced product quality or variety.

Regulatory Response and Compliance

Regulatory Oversight: Antitrust authorities like the FTC and DOJ in the U.S., the European Commission in the EU, and other national authorities globally, monitor and regulate such practices.

Legal Actions and Penalties: Companies engaging in anticompetitive practices may face lawsuits, hefty fines, and orders to cease such practices.

Compliance Programs: Companies are advised to establish robust compliance programs to prevent engaging in or inadvertently promoting anticompetitive practices.

Anticompetitive practices following M&As pose serious risks to market health, fair competition, and consumer welfare. Regulators and companies must be vigilant in identifying, preventing, and addressing these practices to ensure that M&As contribute positively to business growth and economic development without compromising the principles of fair competition.

Mergers and acquisitions, while crucial for business growth and market evolution, carry significant responsibilities and challenges, particularly concerning maintaining fair competition. As the business world becomes increasingly interconnected and digital, the complexity of ensuring fair competition only intensifies. Antitrust laws and regulatory practices must, therefore, be dynamic and adaptable, capable of responding to the changing nature of global markets. For companies, navigating this landscape requires a blend of strategic foresight, legal acumen, and a commitment to upholding the principles of fair competition. Ultimately, the goal is to foster a business environment where innovation, efficiency, and consumer welfare can coexist and flourish.

REFERENCES:

1. U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission, 2010. The U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission provide guidelines on how the HHI is used to evaluate market concentration.

2. Baker, J.B., & Salop, S.C., "Antitrust, Competition Policy, and Inequality," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2020.

3. Kwoka, J. "Mergers, Merger Control, and Remedies: A Retrospective Analysis of U.S. Policy", 2015.

Potential to Create Monopolies or Oligopolies through M&As:

4. Waldman, D.E., & Jensen, E.J., "Industrial Organization: Theory and Practice," 2012.

5. Posner, R.A., "Antitrust Law: An Economic Perspective," 2001

6. Kwoka, J.E., & White, L.J., "The Antitrust Revolution: Economics, Competition, and Policy," 2019.

7. Cyman D., Akhrorova S., Kalandarov A. Introduction to competition law of some foreign countries (Canada and Australia): overview //Herald pedagogiki. Nauka i Praktyka. – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. 1.

8. Ходжаев, Б.К., Раджапов, Х.М. “Конкурентное право и политика Узбекистана». Учебник. – Т.: Изд-во ООО «LESSON PRESS», 2023.

9. Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Competition”, 2023.